





## Malolactic fermentation in red wine



## Introduction

Malolactic fermentation (MLF) is a secondary bacterial fermentation carried out in most red wines. *Oenococcus oeni,* a member of the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) family, is the main bacterium responsible for conducting MLF, due to its ability to survive the harsh conditions of wine (high alcohol, low pH and low nutrients) and its production of desirable wine sensory attributes.

One of the important roles of MLF is to confer microbiological stability towards further metabolism of L-malic acid. Specifically, MLF removes the L-malic acid in wine that can be a carbon source for yeast and bacterial growth, potentially leading to spoilage, spritz and unwanted flavours. MLF can also be conducted in some wines to influence wine style.

MLF often occurs naturally after the completion of primary fermentation or can also be induced by inoculation with a selected bacterial strain. Since natural or 'wild' MLF can be unpredictable in both time of onset and impact on wine quality, malolactic starter cultures are commonly used. In Australia, almost all red wines undergo MLF and 74% are inoculated with bacterial starter cultures (Nordestgaard 2019).

This fact sheet provides practical information for the induction of MLF in red wine. A separate fact sheet provides equivalent information for <u>white and sparkling base wine</u>. These should also be read in conjunction with another fact sheet, <u>Achieving successful malolactic fermentation</u>, which gives further practical guidelines for MLF induction, monitoring and management.





## Key parameters for a successful MLF in red wine

#### Composition of red wine/must

The main wine compositional factors determining the success of MLF are alcohol, pH, temperature, and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) concentration. Before proceeding with bacterial inoculation, it is recommended that these parameters be measured and adjusted where possible. Each factor has a range over which MLF is favourable. As one or more of these parameters becomes unfavourable, MLF will become increasingly difficult (i.e. the factors are additive). The following table summarises the favourable and unfavourable conditions for MLF in red wine.

Parameter	Favourable	Unfavourable
Ethanol (%v/v)	<14	>16
Temperature (°C)	18 – 22	<16, >25
рН	3.3 – 3.5	<3.3
Total SO <sub>2</sub> (mg/L)	<30	>40

Table 1. Favourable and unfavourable wine conditions for the conduct of MLF in red wine

#### Alcohol

One of the most important factors to consider when inducing MLF in red wine is the potential alcohol content. For wines with a potential ethanol content exceeding 15-16% v/v, it is recommended to use an ethanol-tolerant strain of malolactic starter culture. Further, co-inoculation should also be considered, as this approach may help the bacteria adapt to increasing ethanol concentrations during fermentation. Alternatively, pre-adaptation of the starter culture to high-ethanol conditions should be considered.

### Temperature

Although the optimum growth temperature for LAB in grape juice is around 30°C, as the ethanol concentration increases, the optimum temperature falls sharply due to the increased toxic effects of ethanol on bacteria at higher temperatures. Temperature should be  $15-25^{\circ}$ C (preferably 18–22°C, when other parameters are unfavourable and once alcohol reaches approximately 10% v/v). Inoculation temperature is the most important because it is during inoculation that bacteria are most sensitive to sub-optimal temperature. Temperatures above 25°C slow the MLF and increase the risk of bacterial spoilage and increased volatile acidity. If co-inoculation is used, care should be taken to avoid the risk of excessively high temperatures during alcoholic fermentation.

#### pН

Growth conditions for MLF bacteria are more favourable at higher pH, particularly as pH values increase above 3.5; however, these conditions are also favourable for other potential spoilage microorganisms, including certain strains of *Pediococcus* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp. If pH values above 3.5 in red must and wines are anticipated, co-inoculation and prompt wine stabilisation post-MLF could assist in avoiding opportunistic growth of undesirable spoilage bacteria.





### SO<sub>2</sub> concentration

Lactic acid bacteria including *Oenococcus oeni* are highly sensitive to the molecular form of  $SO_2$ . Therefore, to avoid the potentially lethal effects of molecular  $SO_2$  on malolactic bacteria, it is recommended that must/wines destined for MLF induction do not contain any detectable free  $SO_2$  (noting that conventional methods of  $SO_2$  measurement in red wines such as aerationoxidation tend to overestimate free and molecular  $SO_2$  concentration (Coelho et.al. 2015, Howe et. al. 2018)). Further, since bound  $SO_2$  may also be inhibitory towards malolactic bacteria and MLF, the total  $SO_2$  concentration provides a useful measure of the potential impact of  $SO_2$  on MLF for a given wine.

As a guide, adding a maximum of 50 mg/L total SO<sub>2</sub> to grapes before crushing limits potential adverse effects on MLF. However, due to the potential accumulation of SO<sub>2</sub> from other extrinsic (e.g. grape harvesting and transport) and intrinsic (e.g. yeast strains used for alcoholic fermentation) sources, it is recommended that an accurate measurement of total SO<sub>2</sub> is made before bacterial inoculation.

In summary, ideal total SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for a favourable MLF are less than 30 mg/L. Depending on the malolactic bacteria strain used and other wine parameters, total SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations exceeding 40 mg/L are unfavourable and may delay the onset and completion of MLF. Concentrations >50-60 mg/L may completely inhibit MLF.

### Other inhibitory factors

In addition to the parameters mentioned above, pesticide residues, high residual copper concentrations, and high levels of certain medium-chain fatty acids derived from yeast can also inhibit MLF.

# Maximising the chance of successful MLF when a wine has an unfavourable composition

The AWRI helpdesk team has found that preparing an MLF starter culture using a protocol that acclimatises the bacteria to harsh wine conditions provides the highest chance of a successful MLF. Freeze-dried bacteria can be used; however, suppliers should be consulted on choosing a malolactic bacteria strain that is most compatible with the fermentation yeast used. A protocol to adapt a freeze-dried bacteria culture to harsh conditions is available on the AWRI website.



Wine

Australia



## MLF strain selection criteria for red wines

### 1. Bacteria strain tolerances

The main criteria for selecting an appropriate malolactic starter culture generally focus on the strain's ability to tolerate the wine's physical and chemical conditions. This is particularly the case when selecting a strain for red must/wine where, for example, in wines with an ethanol content of 15-16% v/v or more, the choice of strain becomes restricted to those with high alcohol tolerance. The oenological performance limits and other properties of commercial malolactic strains are provided on supplier websites and technical data sheets.

## 2. Diversity of malolactic starter cultures for red wine: *Oenococcus oeni* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*

Commercial malolactic starter cultures are generally strains of different *Oenococcus oeni*, each with specific tolerances to different wine conditions and winemaking applications. However, starter cultures of another member of the wine lactic acid bacteria group, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, are also becoming available for application in red winemaking. The unique physiological properties of *L. plantarum* strains can extend the options available to winemakers for MLF induction in red wines. The specific applications and recommended uses of such strains are available from supplier websites and technical data sheets.

### 3. Yeast-bacteria compatibility

The compatibility of the primary fermentation yeast with malolactic bacteria is another major factor influencing the success or failure of MLF. Certain yeast strains may inhibit MLF by producing metabolites such as SO<sub>2</sub> and certain fatty acids. Furthermore, using a yeast strain with a high nitrogen demand, particularly in low YAN must conditions, may further deplete the nitrogen pool available to the nutritionally fastidious malolactic bacteria. To reduce the potential inhibitory effects of yeast on MLF in red wines, it is important to select a compatible yeast/bacteria combination (refer to supplier/manufacturer recommendations for advice).

## Timing of inoculation

There are several stages during the winemaking process at which bacteria can be inoculated, with the two most common approaches being sequential inoculation and co-inoculation. The choice of timing may depend on factors including processing considerations and the type of starter culture being used.

Traditionally, winemakers in Australia waited until after alcoholic fermentation had been completed to conduct MLF (sequential inoculation). Approximately 60% of Australian wineries use sequential inoculation for MLF in red wine (Nordestgaard 2019). In some circumstances, this approach may have logistical and/or stylistic advantages.





There is, however, increasing interest in co-inoculation, whereby malolactic bacteria are inoculated at the start of alcoholic fermentation, typically around 18 to 24 hours after yeast inoculation. Importantly and, particularly for red winemaking, co-inoculation may potentially facilitate:

- a shorter overall fermentation time, which can lower the risk of spoilage by other microorganisms, including *Brettanomyces*
- overcoming MLF problems associated with high ethanol levels and reduced nitrogen content at the end of primary ferment.

Co-inoculation may also facilitate the use of certain strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* for MLF in red winemaking (refer to supplier technical data sheets for specific recommendations).

Lactic acid bacteria are sensitive to  $SO_2$ , so when conducting co-inoculation, the addition of bacteria should be delayed until yeast activity becomes noticeable. If  $SO_2$  has been added, a delay of 18 to 24 hours or more after yeast inoculation is recommended to allow the yeast time to bind up the free  $SO_2$ . Temperature control is also required if co-inoculation is used, particularly in red wines, as high fermentation temperatures can be detrimental to both the bacteria and the yeast.

There may be some risks associated with co-inoculation, including:

- inhibition by high SO<sub>2</sub> added during harvest/crushing
- competition with yeast growth
- antagonistic yeast/bacteria relationships (MLF strain compatibility is thus important.)
- stuck primary ferments causing possible production of acetic acid from LAB.

Other timing options can also be used in certain red winemaking applications. For example, delaying the onset of MLF may assist with colour retention in lighter-coloured red wine varieties from cooler winemaking regions. Further, for certain commercial preparations of *Lactobacillus plantarum*, the manufacturer may advocate inoculation of the particular starter culture before alcoholic fermentation (refer to supplier technical data sheets for specific recommendations).

## Monitoring MLF: an essential quality control parameter in red winemaking

Monitoring the progress and completion of MLF is an essential quality control step in the production of red wine. By regular measurement of L-malic acid concentration, the onset and completion of MLF can be accurately determined, enabling efficient post-MLF wine stabilisation (e.g. SO<sub>2</sub> addition and possible pH adjustment).

Determining the onset of MLF is important in achieving controlled MLF with a desired malolactic strain. Regular monitoring allows the incidence of delayed or stuck MLF to be readily determined, and if required, a rescue starter culture can be quickly employed. In such cases, the risks associated with uncontrolled growth of spoilage microorganisms can be avoided.







When determining the completion of MLF, it is best to aim for a malic acid result of 'not detected', which is usually <0.05 g/L by enzymatic analysis. However, a result of 0.1 g/L or less is low enough for the MLF to be considered complete. Delays in post-MLF wine stabilisation may lead to increases in volatile acidity and other spoilage phenomena. In such cases, wines are also exposed to oxidation and are at further risk of spoilage from other microorganisms, including acetic acid bacteria and *Brettanomyces* sp. Accurate determination of MLF completion is therefore paramount in helping to prevent such spoilage and quality downgrades.

It is important to note that in some instances where co-inoculation is employed, completion of MLF may occur before the completion of alcoholic fermentation. In such cases, it is recommended that post-MLF wine stabilisation is undertaken after the completion of alcoholic fermentation.

## References

Coelho, JM., Howe, P.A., Sacks, G.L. 2015. A headspace gas detection tube method to measure SO<sub>2</sub> in wine without disrupting SO<sub>2</sub> equilibria. *Am J. Enol. Vitic.* 66: 257-265.

Howe, P.A., Worobo, R., Sacks, G.L. 2018. Conventional measurements of sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) in red wine overestimate SO<sub>2</sub> antimicrobial activity. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 69: 210-220.

Nordestgaard, S. 2019. AWRI Vineyard & Winery Practices Survey, available from: <u>www.awri.com.au/survey</u>

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Wine Australia, with levies from Australia's grapegrowers and winemakers and matching funds from the Australian Government. The AWRI is a member of the Wine Innovation Cluster in Adelaide, SA.

## Contact

For further information, please contact:

AWRI helpdesk

Phone 08 8313 6600 Fax 08 8313 6601 Email <u>helpdesk@awri.com.au</u>

#### Website www.awri.com.au

Address Wine Innovation Central Building, Corner of Hartley Grove & Paratoo Rd, Urrbrae (Adelaide), SA 5064