

Novel sparkling winemaking technologies and visualising yeast autolysis

Gail Gnoinski, Fiona Kerslake, Dugald Close, Bob Dambergs and Simon Schmidt





TIA is a joint venture of the University of Tasmania and the Tasmanian Government

Background

- Complexity in sparkling wine is derived from viticultural practices, base wine composition, winemaking practices and wine maturation (Jones et al., 2014; Kerslake et al., 2013)
- Sensory cues not well understood, distinguished or agreed upon
- Wine Australia priority to identify important compounds contributing to flavour, mouth feel and texture of sparkling wine character



Autolysis

- Enzymatic degradation (hydrolysis) of yeast cell constituents after cell death

Yeast cell structure

- Cell wall mannoprotein, glucan
- Amino acids, Proteins, Peptides

Wine quality

- Yeast cellular components released in wine
- Autolytic character develops slowly





Scientific research

Changes occur in the lees structure as wine ages



(Tudela et al. 2012)



Research question & objectives

- Can alternative methods be implemented to artificially induce yeast lysis in sparkling winemaking?
- Application of novel technologies (ultrasound, microwave, enzymes) to break down yeast cells
- Shorter ageing period on lees with developed characteristics for earlier release
- Visualise cell level impact associated with novel-treated lees



Tasting wine produced using novel technologies

- Participants taste the five different sparkling wines presented
- Make some notes to record impressions of the wines



Industry trial application

- Hill-Smith Family Vineyards Yalumba, Adelaide
- Saccharomyces cerevisiae IOC 18-2007
- Chardonnay base wine
- Winemaking treatments applied:
 - 1. Standard tirage wine stored at 15°C (control)
 - 2. Ultrasound
 - 3. Microwave
 - 4. Enzyme
 - 5. Standard tirage wine stored at 25°C





- Sparkling wine at 12 month period (disgorged)

Sparkling Wine Maturation	
Samples	5 treatments
Evaluation	One day
Replicates	3 flights
Scale	20 points, +10 to -10
Assessors	Sparkling winemakers
Assessment Criteria (compared to a control wine)	Autolytic Nutty Toasty Honey Spicy Earthy





- Average wine show scores for three flights
- The treatment wines exhibit similar scores to the control





- The 25°C treatment is more autolytic compared to the control
- Autolytic character is present in the treatment wines





 The ultrasound and 25°C treatments are perceived as more nutty compared to the control wine



		Toasty		
				39
0.0 STANDARD TIRAGE (15°C)	1.8 ULTRASOUND	1.3 MICROWAVE	0.8 ENZYME	STANDARD TIRAGE (25°C)

 The 25°C treatment exhibits a more toasty character compared to the rest



Honey					
				3.3	
0.0 TANDARD TIRAGE (15°C)	1.5 ULTRASOUND	0.3 MICROWAVE	0.2 ENZYME	STANDARD TIRAGE (25∘	

• The honey character is most perceived in both the ultrasound and the 25°C treatments





• The spicy character is perceived more in the 25°C treatment





• The earthy character is perceived more in the 25°C treatment



Visualising autolysis

- UTAS CSL ESEM (FEI MLA650, 5kV, 5°C, 0.1 mL sample)
- Impact on saccharomyces cerevisiae lees with wine maturation



- Budding cells are primary growth features
- A smooth and uniform cell appearance



Visualising autolysis features on wine yeast

- Adjuvant impedes the clear visualisation of yeast cell surfaces
- Impact features observed on external cell surfaces
- Cells exhibit cavitation, indentation, breakage, shrinkage _





Ultrasound

Microwave





Cell viability

- Cell viability measured using flow cytometry and PI staining
- Damaged cells absorb Propidium Iodide (PI)
- Quantify impact on lees (lysis) with wine maturation



Conclusions

- Treatment wines perceived as more autolytic than control wine at 12 months
- Standard tirage wine stored at 25°C exhibit a greater autolytic character
- Bevscan analysis discriminate the 25°C treatment from the others
- SEM visualisation of yeast show cell surface modifications
- Flow cytometry support cell damage from treatments
- Novel technologies have impact on yeast cells with perceived effects on wine texture (e.g. creamy descriptions for microwave and ultrasound treatments)



Next steps

- A bench top trial using no adjuvant for better yeast visualisation is underway
- Different yeast types and enzymes in sparkling wine production are being investigated



Acknowledgements

- This research forms part of a larger Wine Australia industry-related parent project led by Dr Fiona Kerslake
- Significant contributions received from the Hill-Smith Family Vineyards
- Guidance from Dr Fiona Kerslake, Associate Professor Dugald Close (TIA), Dr Simon Schmidt (AWRI) and Dr Robert Dambergs (Wine TQ)
- The research would not be possible without funding from Wine Australia and a Graduate Research Scholarship from the Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture, University of Tasmania
- The Australian winemakers are thanked for support in the tasting panels
- Disgorging assistance from Hanna Westmore, Linda Donachie and Dr Rocco Longo
- Collaborators at the CSL and Menzies (UTAS) Australian Wine Research Institute, Winemaking Tasmania, and the University of Adelaide









